

# 1 Local Stabilization with Linear State Feedback

## 1. Plant Model

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u) \quad \begin{cases} u \in R^m \\ x \in R^n \end{cases}$$

## 2. Equilibrium Conditions

$$0 = f(\bar{x}, \bar{u})$$

## 3. Linear Approximation

$$A = \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} \quad B = \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})}$$

## 4. Design Model

$$\dot{\tilde{x}} \approx A\tilde{x} + B\tilde{u} \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{u} = u - \bar{u} \\ \tilde{x} = x - \bar{x} \end{cases}$$

## 5. Design Calculations

- (a) Assume  $(A, B)$  is stabilizable.
- (b) Compute  $K$  such that  $A - BK$  is Hurwitz.
- (c) Employ the following controller:

$$\tilde{u} = -K\tilde{x}$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$u = \bar{u} - K(x - \bar{x})$$

- (d) Note that  $(\bar{u}, \bar{x})$  must be known for implementation.
- (e) Note that  $x$  must be measured for implementation.

## 6. Closed-Loop Analysis

- (a) Translation of Coordinates

$$\tilde{x} = x - \bar{x}$$

- (b) Nonlinear Dynamics

$$\dot{\tilde{x}} = F(\tilde{x}) = f(\bar{x} + \tilde{x}, \bar{u} - K\tilde{x})$$

- (c) Equilibrium at Origin

$$\tilde{x} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \dot{\tilde{x}} = 0$$

- (d) Jacobian Matrix Evaluation

$$\left. \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right|_0 = \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} (I) + \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} (-K) = A - BK$$

(e) The Jacobian matrix

$$A_{\text{cl}} = A - BK$$

is Hurwitz by design (see step 5b). According to Lyapunov's Indirect Method,  $\tilde{x} = 0$  is an exponentially stable equilibrium point of the closed-loop system.

(f) Domain of Attraction Estimate

i. Solve the Lyapunov equation

$$A_{\text{cl}}^T P + P A_{\text{cl}} = -Q$$

for some  $Q = Q^T > 0$  to obtain  $P = P^T > 0$ .

ii. Define

$$V(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x}^T P \tilde{x}$$

and

$$\Omega = \{\tilde{x} \in R^n : V(\tilde{x}) \leq c\}$$

where  $c > 0$  is chosen small enough to guarantee that  $\dot{V}(\tilde{x}) < 0$  in  $\Omega \setminus \{0\}$ .

iii. The domain of attraction contains  $\Omega$ , i.e.  $\tilde{x}(0) \in \Omega$  implies that  $\tilde{x}(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

## 2 Local Stabilization with Linear Output Feedback

### 1. Plant Model

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= f(x, u) \\ y &= h(x) \end{aligned} \quad \begin{cases} u \in R^m \\ x \in R^n \\ y \in R^p \end{cases}$$

### 2. Equilibrium Conditions

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \\ \bar{y} &= h(\bar{x}) \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Linear Approximation

$$A = \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} \quad B = \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} \quad C = \left. \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right|_{\bar{x}}$$

### 4. Design Model

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tilde{x}} &\approx A\tilde{x} + B\tilde{u} \\ \tilde{y} &\approx C\tilde{x} \end{aligned} \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{u} = u - \bar{u} \\ \tilde{x} = x - \bar{x} \\ \tilde{y} = y - \bar{y} \end{cases}$$

### 5. Design Calculations

- Assume  $(A, B)$  is stabilizable and  $(A, C)$  is detectable.
- Compute  $K$  such that  $A - BK$  is Hurwitz and  $H$  such that  $A - HC$  is Hurwitz.
- Employ the following controller:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u} &= -K\hat{\tilde{x}} \\ \dot{\hat{\tilde{x}}} &= A\hat{\tilde{x}} + B\tilde{u} - H(C\hat{\tilde{x}} - \tilde{y}) \end{aligned}$$

$\Downarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \bar{u} - K\hat{\tilde{x}} \\ \dot{\hat{\tilde{x}}} &= A\hat{\tilde{x}} + B(u - \bar{u}) - H(C\hat{\tilde{x}} - (y - \bar{y})) \end{aligned}$$

- Note that  $(\bar{u}, \bar{y})$  must be known for implementation.
- Note that  $y$  must be measured for implementation.

### 6. Closed-Loop Analysis

- Translation of Coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x} &= x - \bar{x} \\ \tilde{e} &= \hat{\tilde{x}} - \tilde{x} \end{aligned}$$

- Nonlinear Dynamics

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tilde{x}} &= F_1(\tilde{x}, \tilde{e}) = f(\bar{x} + \tilde{x}, \bar{u} - K(\tilde{x} + \tilde{e})) \\ \dot{\tilde{e}} &= F_2(\tilde{x}, \tilde{e}) = (A - BK - HC)(\tilde{x} + \tilde{e}) + H(h(\bar{x} + \tilde{x}) - \bar{y}) - f(\bar{x} + \tilde{x}, \bar{u} - K(\tilde{x} + \tilde{e})) \end{aligned}$$

(c) Equilibrium at Origin

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{e} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\tilde{x}} \\ \dot{\tilde{e}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(d) Jacobian Matrix Evaluation

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right|_{(0,0)} &= \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} (I) + \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} (-K) = A - BK \\ \left. \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \tilde{e}} \right|_{(0,0)} &= \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} (-K) = -BK \\ \left. \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right|_{(0,0)} &= A - BK - HC + H \left. \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right|_{\bar{x}} (I) - \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} (I) - \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} (-K) = 0 \\ \left. \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \tilde{e}} \right|_{(0,0)} &= A - BK - HC - \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} (-K) = A - HC \end{aligned}$$

(e) The Jacobian matrix

$$A_{cl} = \begin{bmatrix} A - BK & -BK \\ 0 & A - HC \end{bmatrix}$$

is block diagonal, so its eigenvalues are those of  $A - BK$  and  $A - HC$  combined. Thus,  $A_{cl}$  is Hurwitz by design (see step 5b). According to Lyapunov's Indirect Method,  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{e}) = (0, 0)$  is an exponentially stable equilibrium point of the closed-loop system.

(f) Domain of Attraction Estimate

i. Solve the Lyapunov equation

$$A_{cl}^T P + P A_{cl} = -Q$$

for some  $Q = Q^T > 0$  to obtain  $P = P^T > 0$ .

ii. Define

$$V(\tilde{z}) = \tilde{z}^T P \tilde{z}, \quad \tilde{z} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{e} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\Omega = \{\tilde{z} \in R^{2n} : V(\tilde{z}) \leq c\}$$

where  $c > 0$  is chosen small enough to guarantee that  $\dot{V}(\tilde{z}) < 0$  in  $\Omega \setminus \{0\}$ .

iii. The domain of attraction contains  $\Omega$ , i.e.  $\tilde{z}(0) \in \Omega$  implies that  $\tilde{z}(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 3 Local Output Regulation with Linear State Feedback via Integral Control

#### 1. Plant Model

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= f(x, u) \\ y &= h(x) \end{aligned} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u \in R^m \\ x \in R^n \\ y \in R^m \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Design Goal:} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y = r \end{array}$$

#### 2. Equilibrium Conditions

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \\ r &= h(\bar{x}) \end{aligned}$$

#### 3. Linear Approximation

$$A = \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} \quad B = \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} \quad C = \left. \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right|_{(\bar{x})}$$

#### 4. Design Calculations

(a) Assume that  $(A, B)$  is stabilizable, and that the square matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is nonsingular.

(b) Compute  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{K}$  is Hurwitz, where

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathcal{B} = \begin{bmatrix} B \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathcal{K} = [ K_1 \quad K_2 ]$$

(c) Employ the following controller:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= -K_1 x - K_2 \sigma \\ \dot{\sigma} &= y - r \end{aligned}$$

(d) Note that nominal values of  $(f, h)$  parameters may be used for design calculations.

(e) Note that equilibrium data are not required for implementation.

(f) Note that  $x$  and  $y$  must be measured for implementation.

#### 5. Closed-Loop Analysis

(a) Nonlinear Dynamics

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= F_1(x, \sigma) = f(x, -K_1 x - K_2 \sigma) \\ \dot{\sigma} &= F_2(x, \sigma) = h(x) - r \end{aligned}$$

(b) Equilibrium Constraints

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f(\bar{x}, -K_1 \bar{x} - K_2 \bar{\sigma}) \\ 0 &= h(\bar{x}) - r \end{aligned}$$

- (c) For any fixed  $r$  of interest, the equilibrium conditions of step 2 are assumed to provide unique corresponding equilibrium values for  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{u}$ , via solution of  $n+m$  constraints on  $n+m$  variables. The design hypotheses of step 4a guarantee that  $K_2$  is a nonsingular matrix. Consequently, for the given  $r$  there is a unique equilibrium state  $(\bar{x}, \bar{\sigma})$  such that

$$\bar{\sigma} = -K_2^{-1} (\bar{u} + K_1 \bar{x})$$

At this equilibrium state, the output matches the desired setpoint, i.e.  $y = r$ . Perturbations of  $(f, h)$  parameters affect the equilibrium state but do not destroy the desired property of zero steady-state error at the output.

- (d) Jacobian Matrix Evaluation

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{\sigma})} &= \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} (I) + \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} (-K_1) = A - BK_1 \\ \left. \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \sigma} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{\sigma})} &= \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} (-K_2) = -BK_2 \\ \left. \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{\sigma})} &= \left. \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right|_{(\bar{x})} (I) = C \\ \left. \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \sigma} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{\sigma})} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

- (e) The Jacobian matrix

$$A_{\text{cl}} = \begin{bmatrix} A - BK_1 & -BK_2 \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{K}$$

is Hurwitz by design (see step 4b). According to Lyapunov's Indirect Method,  $(x, \sigma) = (\bar{x}, \bar{\sigma})$  is an exponentially stable equilibrium point of the closed-loop system. At this equilibrium point,  $y = r$  as desired.

## 4 Local Output Regulation with Linear Output Feedback via Integral Control

### 1. Plant Model

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= f(x, u) \\ y &= h(x) \end{aligned} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u \in R^m \\ x \in R^n \\ y \in R^m \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Design Goal:} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y = r \end{array}$$

### 2. Equilibrium Conditions

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \\ r &= h(\bar{x}) \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Linear Approximation

$$A = \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} \quad B = \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} \quad C = \left. \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right|_{(\bar{x})}$$

### 4. Design Calculations

(a) Assume that  $(A, B)$  is stabilizable and  $(A, C)$  is detectable, and that the square matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is nonsingular.

(b) Compute  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{K}$  is Hurwitz and  $H$  such that  $A - HC$  is Hurwitz, where

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathcal{B} = \begin{bmatrix} B \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathcal{K} = [K_1 \quad K_2]$$

(c) Employ the following controller:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= -K_1 \hat{x} - K_2 \sigma \\ \dot{\sigma} &= y - r \\ \dot{\hat{x}} &= A\hat{x} + Bu - H(C\hat{x} - y) \end{aligned}$$

(d) Note that nominal values of  $(f, h)$  parameters may be used for design calculations.

(e) Note that equilibrium data are not required for implementation.

(f) Note that  $y$  must be measured for implementation.

### 5. Closed-Loop Analysis

(a) Nonlinear Dynamics

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= F_1(x, \sigma, \hat{x}) = f(x, -K_1 \hat{x} - K_2 \sigma) \\ \dot{\sigma} &= F_2(x, \sigma, \hat{x}) = h(x) - r \\ \dot{\hat{x}} &= F_3(x, \sigma, \hat{x}) = (A - BK_1 - HC)\hat{x} - BK_2 \sigma + Hh(x) \end{aligned}$$

(b) Equilibrium Constraints

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f(\bar{x}, -K_1 \bar{\hat{x}} - K_2 \bar{\sigma}) \\ 0 &= h(\bar{x}) - r \\ 0 &= (A - BK_1 - HC)\bar{\hat{x}} - BK_2 \bar{\sigma} + Hh(\bar{x}) \end{aligned}$$

- (c) For any fixed  $r$  of interest, the equilibrium conditions of step 2 are assumed to provide unique corresponding equilibrium values for  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{u}$ , via solution of  $n+m$  constraints on  $n+m$  variables. The design hypotheses of step 4a guarantee that  $K_2$  and  $A - HC$  are nonsingular matrices. Consequently, for the given  $r$  there is a unique equilibrium state  $(\bar{x}, \bar{\sigma}, \hat{x})$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\sigma} &= -K_2^{-1}(\bar{u} - K_1(A - HC)^{-1}(B\bar{u} + Hr)) \\ \hat{x} &= -(A - HC)^{-1}(B\bar{u} + Hr)\end{aligned}$$

At this equilibrium state, the output matches the desired setpoint, i.e.  $y = r$ . Perturbations of  $(f, h)$  parameters affect the equilibrium state but do not destroy the desired property of zero steady-state error at the output.

- (d) Jacobian Matrix Evaluation

$$\begin{aligned}\left. \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{\sigma}, \hat{x})} &= \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} (I) = A \\ \left. \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \sigma} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{\sigma}, \hat{x})} &= \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} (-K_2) = -BK_2 \\ \left. \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \hat{x}} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{\sigma}, \hat{x})} &= \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} (-K_1) = -BK_1 \\ \left. \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{\sigma}, \hat{x})} &= \left. \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right|_{(\bar{x})} (I) = C \\ \left. \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \sigma} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{\sigma}, \hat{x})} &= 0 \\ \left. \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \hat{x}} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{\sigma}, \hat{x})} &= 0 \\ \left. \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial x} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{\sigma}, \hat{x})} &= H \left. \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right|_{(\bar{x})} (I) = HC \\ \left. \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial \sigma} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{\sigma}, \hat{x})} &= -BK_2 \\ \left. \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial \hat{x}} \right|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{\sigma}, \hat{x})} &= A - BK_1 - HC\end{aligned}$$

- (e) The Jacobian matrix

$$A_{cl} = \begin{bmatrix} A & -BK_2 & -BK_1 \\ C & 0 & 0 \\ HC & -BK_2 & A - BK_1 - HC \end{bmatrix}$$

may be brought into the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} A - BK & 0 \\ 0 & A - HC \end{bmatrix}$$

by elementary row and column operations. Hence,  $A_{cl}$  is Hurwitz by design (see step 4b). According to Lyapunov's Indirect Method,  $(x, \sigma, \hat{x}) = (\bar{x}, \bar{\sigma}, \hat{x})$  is an exponentially stable equilibrium point of the closed-loop system. At this equilibrium point,  $y = r$  as desired.